

PROGRAM EVALUATION Sarasota 05 January 2016

Attendance: 416
Survey Responses: 131
Response Rate: 31.5%

China's Dream: The Hundred-Year Marathon Dr Michael Pillsbury

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	130	0.0	0	0.0	0	2.3	3	10.0	13	87.7	114	4.85	97.08
#2	129	1.6	2	3.1	4	6.2	8	18.6	24	70.5	91	4.53	90.69
#3	130	2.3	3	1.5	2	10.0	13	23.1	30	63.1	82	4.43	88.62
#4	127	1.6	2	1.6	2	7.1	9	19.7	25	70.1	89	4.55	91.02
#5	130	5.4	7	4.6	6	10.0	13	14.6	19	65.4	85	4.30	86.00

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.53
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.56
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 91.2%

Overall Percentage Rating: 90.7%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.43
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 88.5%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota 12 January 2016

Attendance: 497
 Survey Responses: 130
 Response Rate: 26.2%

War In the Ukraine
Nolan Peterson

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	130	1.5	2	0.8	1	6.2	8	13.1	17	78.5	102	4.66	93.23
#2	126	4.0	5	4.6	6	13.5	17	15.9	20	61.9	78	4.27	85.34
#3	129	3.1	4	0.8	1	6.2	8	14.0	18	76.0	98	4.59	91.78
#4	126	0.8	1	1.6	2	6.3	8	16.7	21	74.6	94	4.63	92.54
#5	126	10.3	13	6.3	8	7.1	9	12.7	16	63.5	80	4.13	82.54

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.45
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.50
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 90.02%

Overall Percentage Rating: 89.1%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.45
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 89.0%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Venice 15 January, 2016

Attendance: 311
 Survey Responses: 126
 Response Rate: 40.5%

Current Issues in U.S. Intelligence Dr. Mark Lowenthal

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	126	0.8	1	0.0	0	2.4	3	10.3	13	86.5	109	4.82	96.35
#2	125	2.4	3	2.4	3	13.6	17	12.8	16	68.8	86	4.43	88.63
#3	124	0.8	1	0.0	0	5.6	7	9.7	12	83.9	104	4.76	95.16
#4	115	0.0	0	0.9	1	1.7	2	9.6	11	87.8	101	4.84	96.87
#5	124	4.0	5	0.0	0	5.6	7	12.1	15	78.2	97	4.60	92.10

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.69
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.76
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 95.1%

Overall Percentage Rating: 93.8%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.73
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 94.7%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Lakewood Ranch 14 January, 2016

Attendance: 174
Survey Responses: 93
Response Rate: 53.4%

Current Issues in U.S. Intelligence Dr. Mark Lowenthal

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	92	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	10.9	10	89.1	82	4.89	97.83
#2	91	0.0	0	0.0	0	7.7	7	15.4	14	76.9	70	4.69	93.85
#3	91	0.0	0	0.0	0	6.6	6	9.9	9	83.5	76	4.77	95.38
#4	92	0.0	0	0.0	0	4.3	4	16.3	15	79.3	73	4.75	95.00
#5	93	3.2	3	1.1	1	5.4	5	9.7	9	80.6	75	4.63	92.69

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.75
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.76
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 95.2%

Overall Percentage Rating: 94.9%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.72
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 94.4%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Sarasota, 21 January, 2016

Attendance: 590
 Survey Responses: 117
 Response Rate: 19.8%

China Goes Global: The Partial Power
David Shambaugh

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	116	0.0	0	0.0	0	5.2	6	19.0	22	75.9	88	4.71	94.14
#2	115	1.7	2	0.0	3	8.7	10	20.9	24	66.1	76	4.42	88.35
#3	113	1.8	2	8.0	9	8.8	10	23.9	27	57.5	65	4.27	85.49
#4	103	0.0	0	2.9	3	9.7	10	20.4	21	67.0	69	4.51	90.29
#5	109	4.6	5	6.4	7	12.8	14	18.3	20	57.8	63	4.18	83.67

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.42
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.42
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 88.4%

Overall Percentage Rating: 88.4%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.32
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 86.5%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Sarasota, 28 January, 2016

Attendance: 659
Survey Responses: 54
Response Rate: 8.2%

Surging American Inequality: How Much and Why? Dr. Gary Burtless

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	53	5.7	3	7.5	4	3.8	2	26.4	14	56.6	30	4.21	84.15
#2	54	13.0	7	13.2	7	20.4	11	16.7	9	37.0	20	3.52	70.47
#3	54	14.8	8	22.2	12	22.2	12	7.4	4	33.3	18	3.22	64.44
#4	45	4.4	2	15.6	7	20.0	9	22.2	10	37.8	17	3.73	74.67
#5	53	35.8	19	15.1	8	20.8	11	13.2	7	15.1	8	2.57	51.32

Overall Numerical Rating: 3.45
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.43
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 68.6%

Overall Percentage Rating: 69.0%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.17
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 63.5%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Lakewood Ranch, 28 January, 2016

Attendance: 128
Survey Responses: 68
Response Rate: 53.1%

Surging American Inequality: How Much and Why? Dr. Gary Burtless

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	68	5.9	4	10.3	7	13.2	9	26.5	18	44.1	30	3.93	78.53
#2	68	13.2	9	19.1	13	23.5	16	19.1	13	25.0	17	3.24	64.71
#3	67	4.5	3	10.4	7	22.4	15	26.9	18	35.8	24	3.79	75.82
#4	60	0.0	0	15.0	9	11.7	7	36.7	22	36.7	22	3.95	79.00
#5	65	18.5	12	13.8	9	18.5	12	24.6	16	24.6	16	3.23	64.62

Overall Numerical Rating: 3.63
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.73
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 74.5%

Overall Percentage Rating: 72.5%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.72
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 73.1%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Venice, 02 February, 2016

Attendance: 408
Survey Responses: 73
Response Rate: 17.9%

The Global Refugee Crisis - Unprecedented in Scope and Scale George Biddle

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	73	0.0	0	0.0	0	2.7	2	11.0	8	86.3	63	4.84	96.71
#2	71	1.4	1	4.2	3	11.3	8	23.9	17	59.2	42	4.35	87.04
#3	73	0.0	0	6.8	5	9.6	7	11.0	8	72.6	53	4.49	89.86
#4	71	0.0	0	4.2	3	11.3	8	16.9	12	67.6	48	4.48	89.58
#5	70	4.3	3	2.9	2	12.9	9	12.9	9	67.1	47	4.36	87.14

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.50
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.54
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 90.8%

Overall Percentage Rating: 90.1%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.43
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 88.9%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Sarasota 11 February, 2016

Attendance: 530
Survey Responses: 106
Response Rate: 20.0%

The Arctic
Amb. Kenneth Yalowitz

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	106	1.9	2	2.8	3	12.3	13	17.9	19	65.1	69	4.42	88.30
#2	104	18.3	19	9.4	10	28.8	30	18.3	19	25.0	26	3.22	64.35
#3	105	1.9	2	3.8	4	14.3	15	22.9	24	57.1	60	4.30	85.90
#4	94	0.0	0	1.1	1	8.5	8	24.5	23	66.0	62	4.55	91.06
#5	101	10.9	11	10.9	11	25.7	26	18.8	19	33.7	34	3.53	70.69

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.00
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.20
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 84.0%

Overall Percentage Rating: 80.1%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.13
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 82.6%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Sarasota 18 February, 2016

Attendance: 554
Survey Responses: 85
Response Rate: 15.3%

Modern Mercenaries: How For-Profit Warriors Are Changing Global Affairs Dr. Shawn McFate

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	85	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	14.1	12	85.9	73	4.86	97.18
#2	85	3.5	3	4.7	4	21.2	18	16.5	14	54.1	46	4.13	82.59
#3	84	0.0	0	2.4	2	0.0	0	10.7	9	86.9	73	4.82	96.43
#4	78	0.0	0	0.0	0	3.8	3	11.5	9	84.6	66	4.81	96.15
#5	84	3.6	3	1.2	1	7.1	6	17.9	15	70.2	59	4.50	90.00

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.62
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.75
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 94.9%

Overall Percentage Rating: 92.5%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.71
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 94.2%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Venice 19 February, 2016

Attendance: 424
 Survey Responses: 89
 Response Rate: 21.0%

Modern Mercenaries: How For-Profit Warriors Are Changing Global Affairs Dr. Shawn McFate

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	89	0.0	0	0.0	0	1.1	1	5.6	5	93.3	83	4.92	98.43
#2	89	0.0	0	2.2	2	21.3	19	14.6	13	61.8	55	4.36	87.19
#3	87	0.0	0	0.0	0	2.3	2	12.6	11	85.1	74	4.83	96.55
#4	86	0.0	0	1.2	1	0.0	0	17.4	15	81.4	70	4.79	95.81
#5	89	0.0	0	2.2	2	11.2	10	12.4	11	74.2	66	4.58	91.69

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.70
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.78
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 95.6%

Overall Percentage Rating: 93.9%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.73
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 94.7%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Sarasota 02 March, 2016

Attendance:
Survey Responses: 119
Response Rate:

After Bin Laden: U.S. Relations with Afghanistan and Pakistan Today Carlotta Gall

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	118	0.8	1	1.7	2	0.0	3	0.0	16	81.4	96	4.11	82.20
#2	119	0.8	1	1.7	2	12.6	15	0.0	18	69.7	83	3.91	78.16
#3	119	1.7	2	5.9	7	14.3	17	0.0	22	59.7	71	3.55	70.92
#4	109	0.9	1	2.8	3	11.0	12	0.0	19	67.9	74	3.79	75.78
#5	116	0.0	0	6.9	8	17.2	20	0.0	18	60.3	70	3.67	73.45

Overall Numerical Rating: 3.81
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.78
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 75.6%

Overall Percentage Rating: 76.1%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.67
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 73.4%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Sarasota 03 March, 2016

Attendance:
Survey Responses: 102
Response Rate:

Measuring and Gaining U.S. Influence in Latin America and the Caribbean Dr. Francisco Mora

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	100	4.0	4	2.0	2	13.0	13	35.0	35	46.0	46	4.17	83.40
#2	99	9.1	9	18.0	18	17.2	17	24.2	24	31.3	31	3.50	70.03
#3	100	7.0	7	8.0	8	20.0	20	32.0	32	33.0	33	3.76	75.20
#4	90	5.6	5	0.0	0	8.9	8	24.4	22	61.1	55	4.36	87.11
#5	95	14.7	14	15.8	15	23.2	22	15.8	15	30.5	29	3.32	66.32

Overall Numerical Rating: 3.82
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 3.90
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 78.0%

Overall Percentage Rating: 76.4%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 2.86
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 76.2%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION
Venice, 11 March, 2016

Attendance:
 Survey Responses: 74
 Response Rate:

The Iran Deal and Its Implications
Dr. Nabeel Khoury

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	74	2.7	2	1.4	1	0.0	0	6.8	5	89.2	66	4.78	95.68
#2	74	2.7	2	0.0	0	5.4	4	18.9	14	73.0	54	4.59	91.89
#3	74	4.1	3	0.0	0	5.4	4	17.6	13	73.0	54	4.55	91.08
#4	72	2.8	2	4.2	3	0.0	0	12.5	9	80.6	58	4.64	92.78
#5	72	4.2	3	1.4	1	2.8	2	9.7	7	81.9	59	4.64	92.78

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.64
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.65
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 93.1%

Overall Percentage Rating: 92.8%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.61
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 92.2%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION

Sarasota 17 March 2016

Attendance: 559
 Survey Responses: 92
 Response Rate: 16.5%

**Facing a World of Challenges
 Ambassador Christopher Hill**

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numerical Rating	% Rating	
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#			
#1	92	0.0	0	1.1	1	2.2	2	5.4	#	5	91.3	84	4.87	97.39
#2	92	7.6	7	2.2	2	2.2	2	1.1	1	87.0	80	4.58	91.52	
#3	92	0.0	0	2.2	2	0.0	0	9.8	9	88.0	81	4.84	96.74	
#4	86	0.0	0	0.0	0	1.2	1	20.9	18	77.9	67	4.77	95.35	
#5	90	0.0	0	0.0	0	3.3	3	11.1	10	85.6	77	4.82	96.44	

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.77
 (Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.82
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 96.5%

Overall Percentage Rating: 95.5%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.81
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 96.2%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Venice 22 March, 2016

Attendance: 193
Survey Responses: 47
Response Rate: 24.4%

Ten Mega Trends Impacting Africa's Future Ambassador Johnnie Carson

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	47	0.0	0	0.0	0	4.3	2	12.8	6	83.0	39	4.79	95.74
#2	45	0.0	0	0.0	0	8.9	4	11.1	5	80.0	36	4.71	94.22
#3	47	0.0	0	2.1	1	8.5	4	8.5	4	80.9	38	4.68	93.62
#4	46	0.0	0	2.2	1	2.2	1	13.0	6	82.6	38	4.76	95.22
#5	47	0.0	0	2.1	1	10.6	5	8.5	4	78.7	37	4.64	92.77

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.72
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.72
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 94.3%

Overall Percentage Rating: 94.3%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.69
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 93.9%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION Lakewood Ranch, 24 Mar 2016

Attendance: 90
Survey Responses: 43
Response Rate: 47.8%

Global Middle Class Instability Amb Richard Boucher

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	44	0.0	0	0.0	0	11.4	5	11.4	5	77.3	34	4.66	93.18
#2	44	0.0	0	4.5	2	11.4	5	13.6	6	70.5	31	4.50	90.00
#3	44	2.3	1	9.1	4	18.2	8	20.5	9	50.0	22	4.07	81.36
#4	42	0.0	0	0.0	0	16.7	7	23.8	10	59.5	25	4.43	88.57
#5	43	2.3	1	7.0	3	16.3	7	16.3	7	58.1	25	4.21	84.19

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.37
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.32
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 86.8%

Overall Percentage Rating: 87.5%

Numerical Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 4.23
Percentage Rating: Q3+Q4+Q5 Only: 84.7%

- Question 1: The subject matter was relevant and of interest.
- Question 2: The subject area should be addressed in next year's series.
- Question 3: The speaker conveyed his/her message effectively.
- Question 4: The speaker answered questions effectively.
- Question 5: SILL should invite this speaker to return in the next two years.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

PROGRAM EVALUATION AMBASSADORS' PANEL Sarasota, 30 March 2016

Attendance: Not Reported
Survey Responses: 202
Response Rate: -

U.S. Foreign Relations - The View from the Embassy Retired U.S. Ambassadors Douglas McElhane, James McGee and James Walsh

(Ratings are on 5-point scale where 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree.")

Question	Total Responses	<u>1</u>		<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>		Numeric Rating	% Rating
		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#		
#1	199	0.5	1	6.0	12	9.0	18	24.6	49	59.8	119	4.37	87.44
#2	201	0.0	0	3.0	6	12.9	26	24.9	50	59.2	119	4.40	88.07
#3	186	2.7	5	2.2	4	11.8	22	15.1	28	68.3	127	4.44	88.82
#4	198	1.0	2	1.0	2	7.1	14	21.2	42	69.7	138	4.58	91.52
#5	196	2.0	4	7.1	14	12.2	24	17.3	34	61.2	120	4.29	85.71

Overall Numerical Rating: 4.42
(Average of all five "numerical ratings" in last column)

Numerical Rating: Q1+Q5 Only: 4.33
Percentage Rating: Q1+Q5 Only: 86.6%

Overall Percentage Rating: 88.3%

Numerical Rating: Q2+Q4 Only: 4.49
Percentage Rating: Q2+Q4 Only: 89.8%

- Question 1: This was a good format to cover the subject.
- Question 2: I was provided with useful information and good insights.
- Question 3: The method of ticket distribution was satisfactory.
- Question 4: The participants did their job.
- Question 5: I would like SILL to include more panels in its program.

- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5